



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,308	08/28/2003	Harry Krumma	60,126-228	6916
7590	05/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Gregory D. DeGrazia Howard & Howard Attorneys, PC Suite 101 39400 Woodward Avenue Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,308	KRUMMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yewebdar T. Tadesse	1734

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,14,15,17-19 and 22-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,14,15,17-19 and 22-24 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al (US 4,700,896) in view of Leathers (US 6,637,215). Takeuchi et al discloses (see Fig 1) a rotary atomizer for applying a coating, comprising a turbine motor (turbine wheel 30) of the rotary atomizer driven by a fluid stream, a shaft (4) of a rotating atomizer driven by the turbine motor (turbine wheel 30) and being supported by a bearing unit (18 and 19), an inlet path (51, 38, 24 and 25) through which the fluid stream is supplied under pressure to a turbine wheel (30), and an outlet path (port 55)

through which the fluid stream at a lower-pressure evacuates from the bearing unit. Takeuchi et al further discloses a heating device (69) for heating one of the fluid streams flowing through the turbine wheel, the inlet path, and the outlet path. As to a turbine motor, it is well known in the art to use a surface of a turbine wheel as a drive motor for a rotary atomizer (see Abstract of Baumann et al., US 2004/0164190) teaching a turbine wheel for a rotary atomizer. Takeuchi et al lacks teaching a temperature sensor connected to a temperature regulator regulating the heating device to maintain a temperature of one of the fluid stream, inlet path and outlet path. However, it is well known in the art to use a temperature sensor connected with a temperature regulator to maintain the desired temperature of a fluid stream at the inlet path; for instance –Leathers discloses (see Fig 2 and claim 19) a temperature sensor (246) connected to a regulator (controller 250) regulating the heating device (heat exchanger 202) of the compressed air line (128). It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a temperature sensor in communication with regulator of the heating device in Tomita et al to supply the desired conditioned air to the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 14-15, 17-19, 22-24 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection (see above).
6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baumann et al. (US 2004/0164190) teaching a surface of turbine wheel as a drive motor for a rotary atomizer.
7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yewebdar T. Tadesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Keweenaw F-R
YTT

ca fio
CHRIS FIORILLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 1734